

AS1741 and AS1751

Use single, dual or quad analog switch



Content Guide

1	What is needed in application?	. 3
2	AS1741 vs. AS1751	. 3
3	Conclusion	. 4
4	Contact Information	. 6
5	Copyrights & Disclaimer	. 7
6	Revision Information	. 8

amu

1 What is needed in application?

Most analogue switches are single or dual, and just some of them are quad, what means that one integrated circuit has one, two or four internal analog switches (channels). Especially in applications like audio or video signal routing, there is a need for more channels. This paper is a comparison in price and use in application if the quad switch is used instead of two dual switches, when the functionality is completely the same.

2 AS1741 vs. AS1751

AS1751 is high-speed, low-voltage, low-resistive, quad single-pole/single-through (SPST) analog switch. SPST means that there are 4 internal switches, and each of them has one input and one output.

AS1741 has almost the same characteristics, only this is a dual SPST switch. This means that there are 2 internal switches, and each of them has one input and one output.

So, if a designer needs four switches (channels), it is possible to use one AS1751 or two AS1741 (or even four one-channel switches), and have completely same result. But what is less expensive and what is easier to implement? What is better for the application?





Figure 1: Block Diagrams of AS1751 and AS1741

* Costs



The silicon of the AS1751 is almost double size the one from the AS1741, because most of the area of the chip is filled with switching transistors, and they are the same. So for this part of the costs, there is almost no difference.

However the AS1751 needs only one package and one test, while two AS1741 need two packages and two tests. This makes a big difference in price. Therefore the solution with the AS1751 is much cheaper (about 30%).

* Board considerations

The solution using one integrated circuit, like in the AS1751, is for sure the smaller alternative and easier to implement in most applications, because only one chip is needed. Only in the case of the system needing more switches and them being far apart the solution using two AS1741 would be better and probably the only possible solution, because of the need for long routing if the AS1751 is used.

3 Conclusion

The solution using the AS1751 would be much cheaper, smaller and easier to implement for the described application. Using four one-channel switches was not in comparison, because this would be very expensive for this kind of application. This gives a big recommendation for using the analog switches with implemented multiple channels inside, such as the AS1751 (quad switch).

The ON-resistance parameter for switches AS1741 and AS1751 is presented in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, where it is easy to see that this parameter is almost the same for these devices.



Figure 2: RON for AS1741

amu



Figure 3: RON for AS1751

am

4 Contact Information

Buy our products or get free samples online at: www.ams.com/ICdirect

Technical Support is available at: www.ams.com/Technical-Support

Provide feedback about this document at: www.ams.com/Document-Feedback

For further information and requests, e-mail us at: ams_sales@ams.com

For sales offices, distributors and representatives, please visit: www.ams.com/contact

Headquarters

ams AG Tobelbaderstrasse 30 8141 Unterpremstaetten Austria, Europe

Tel: +43 (0) 3136 500 0 Website: www.ams.com

amu

5 Copyrights & Disclaimer

Copyright ams AG, Tobelbader Strasse 30, 8141 Unterpremstaetten, Austria-Europe. Trademarks Registered. All rights reserved. The material herein may not be reproduced, adapted, merged, translated, stored, or used without the prior written consent of the copyright owner.

Information in this document is believed to be accurate and reliable. However, ams AG does not give any representations or warranties, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of such information and shall have no liability for the consequences of use of such information.

Applications that are described herein are for illustrative purposes only. ams AG makes no representation or warranty that such applications will be appropriate for the specified use without further testing or modification. ams AG takes no responsibility for the design, operation and testing of the applications and end-products as well as assistance with the applications or end-product designs when using ams AG products. ams AG is not liable for the suitability and fit of ams AG products in applications and end-products planned.

ams AG shall not be liable to recipient or any third party for any damages, including but not limited to personal injury, property damage, loss of profits, loss of use, interruption of business or indirect, special, incidental or consequential damages, of any kind, in connection with or arising out of the furnishing, performance or use of the technical data or applications described herein. No obligation or liability to recipient or any third party shall arise or flow out of ams AG rendering of technical or other services.

ams AG reserves the right to change information in this document at any time and without notice.

am

6 Revision Information

Changes from 0.1 to current revision 0-10 (2014-Jul-18)

Page

Content updated to latest ams design

Note: Page numbers for the previous version may differ from page numbers in the current revision.